

RALPH ALVARADO §  
v. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:10cv98  
STATE BAR OF TEXAS, ET AL. §

1

resulting in the breakout by force of the device chip in his head, and that he has set forth a claim against an official who steals or embezzles. He made no mention of the “three strikes” provision or otherwise allude to the basis for the recommended dismissal of his claims.

The Court has conducted a careful *de novo* review of the pleadings in this case, the Report of the Magistrate Judge, the Plaintiff’s objections thereto, and all other pleadings, documents, and records in the case. Upon such *de novo* review, the Court has concluded that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct and that the Plaintiff’s objections are without merit. It is accordingly


ORDERED that the Plaintiff’s objections are overruled and the Report of the Magistrate Judge is ADOPTED as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

ORDERED that the above-styled civil action be and hereby is DISMISSED with prejudice as to the refiling of another *in forma pauperis* lawsuit raising the same claims as herein presented, but without prejudice to the refiling of this lawsuit without seeking *in forma pauperis* status and upon payment of the full \$350.00 filing fee. Should the Plaintiff pay the full filing fee within 15 days after the date of entry of final judgment in this case, he shall be allowed to proceed as though the full filing fee had been paid from the outset. It is further

ORDERED that the Plaintiff’s *in forma pauperis* status is hereby REVOKED. Finally, it is hereby

ORDERED that any and all motions which may be pending in this civil action are hereby DENIED.

**SIGNED this 9th day of June, 2010.**

  
MICHAEL H. SCHNEIDER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE